Parsing Probabilistic CFG (PCFG)

Laura Kallmeyer

Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf

Winter 2017/18

Gainviel Gainer HEINRICH HEINE UNIVERSITÄT DÜSSELDORF

Table of contents





Inside and outside probability



Jurafsky and Martin (2009)

(Some of the slides are due to Wolfgang Maier.)

Data-Driven Parsing

- Linguistic grammars can not only be created manually. Another way to obtain grammars is to interpret the syntactic structures in a treebank as the derivations of a latent grammar and to use an appopriate algorithm for grammar extraction.
- One can also estimate occurrence probabilities for the rules of a grammar. These can be used to determine the best parse, resp. parses of a sentence.
- Furthermore, rule probabilities can serve to speed up parsing.
- Parsing with a probabilistic grammar obtained from a treebank is called data-driven parsing.

PCFG (1)

In most cases, probabilistic CFGs are used for data-driven parsing.

PCFG

A **Probabilistic Context-Free Grammar** (PCFG) is a tuple $G_P = (N, T, P, S, p)$ where (N, T, P, S) is a CFG and $p : P \rightarrow [0, 1]^a$ is a function such that for all $A \in N$,

$$\sum_{A \to \alpha \in P} p(A \to \alpha) = 1$$

 $^{a}[0,1]$ denotes $\{i \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 1\}.$

 $p(A \rightarrow \alpha)$ is the conditional probability $p(A \rightarrow \alpha \mid A)$

A

PCFG (2)

PCFG

Start symbol VP

				1	$\text{Det} \rightarrow \text{the}$
0.8	$\mathrm{VP} \to \mathrm{V} \ \mathrm{NP}$	1	$\mathrm{PP} \to \mathrm{P} \; \mathrm{NP}$	1	$P \rightarrow with$
0.2	$\mathrm{VP} \to \mathrm{VP} \; \mathrm{PP}$	0.1	$N \to N \ PP$	0.6	$N \to man$
1	$NP \to Det \; N$	1	$V \rightarrow sees$	0.3	N ightarrow telescope

PCFG (2)

PCFG

Start symbol VP

				1	$\text{Det} \rightarrow \text{the}$
0.8	$\mathrm{VP} \to \mathrm{V} \; \mathrm{NP}$	1	$\mathrm{PP} \to \mathrm{P} \; \mathrm{NP}$	1	$P \rightarrow with$
0.2	$\mathrm{VP} \to \mathrm{VP} \; \mathrm{PP}$	0.1	$N \to N \; PP$	0.6	$N \to man$
1	$NP \to Det \; N$	1	$V \rightarrow sees$	0.3	$N \rightarrow telescope$

- Probability of a parse tree: product of the probabilities of the rules used to generate the parse tree.
- Probability of a category A spanning a string w: sum of the probabilities of all parse trees with root label A and yield w.

Parse tree probability

0.8 0.2	$\begin{array}{l} VP \rightarrow V \; NP \\ VP \rightarrow VP \; PP \end{array}$	1 1	$\begin{array}{l} NP \rightarrow Det \ N\\ PP \rightarrow P \ NP \end{array}$	0.1 1	$\begin{array}{l} N \rightarrow N \ PP \\ V \rightarrow sees \end{array}$	1 1	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Det} \rightarrow \text{the} \\ \text{P} \rightarrow \text{with} \end{array}$	0.6 0.3	N – N –	→ man → telescop	e			
t	1		VP				t_2		VP					
	VP	/		PP			V	,		NP				
	\sim		/	\wedge					/	\frown				
	V 	NP	· P				se	es	Det	/	N			
	sees De	t	N wit	ı De	et N				the	Ń		PP		
	 the	e 1	nan	 th	e telesco	ope				man	P		NP	
						•						_/		
P	$(t_1) = 0$).6	· 0.8 · ().2 ·	0.3 =	0.	0288				with	Det	N	
P	$(t_2) = 0$).6	$\cdot 0.8 \cdot 0$).1 ·	0.3 =	0.	0144					the	telescop	e

Parse tree probability



PCFG (4)

Probabilities of leftmost derivations:

Probability of a leftmost derivation

Let G = (N, T, P, S, p) be a PCFG, and let $\alpha, \gamma \in (N \cup T)^*$.

• Let $A \to \beta \in P$. The probability of a leftmost derivation $\alpha \stackrel{A \to \beta}{\Rightarrow}_{l} \gamma$ is

$$p(\alpha \stackrel{A \to \beta}{\Rightarrow}_l \gamma) = p(A \to \beta)$$

• Let $A_1 \to \beta_1, \ldots, A_m \to \beta_m \in P, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The probability of a leftmost derivation $\alpha \stackrel{A_1 \to \beta_1}{\Rightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{A_m \to \beta_m}{\Rightarrow} \gamma$ is

$$p(\alpha \stackrel{A_1 \to \beta_1}{\Rightarrow_l} \cdots \stackrel{A_m \to \beta_m}{\Rightarrow_l} \gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(A_i \to \beta_i)$$

PCFG (5)

The probability of leftmost deriving γ from α, α ⇒_l γ is defined as the sum over the probabilities of all leftmost derivations of γ from α:

$$p(\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}_{l} \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} p(A_{j}^{i} \to \beta_{j}^{i})$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of leftmost derivations of γ from α and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is the derivation length of the *i*th derivation and $A_i^i \to \beta_i^i$ is the *j*th derivation step of the *i*th leftmost derivation.

In the following, the subscript l is omitted assuming that derivations are identified with the corresponding leftmost derivation for probabilities.

Consistent PCFG

A PCFG is **consistent** if the sum of the probabilities of all sentences in the language equals 1.

Consistent PCFG

A PCFG is **consistent** if the sum of the probabilities of all sentences in the language equals 1.

Example of an inconsistent PCFG

.4 $S \to A$.6 $S \to B$ 1 $A \to a$ 1 $B \to B$ Problem: probability mass disappears into infinite derivations. $\sum_{w \in L(G)} p(w) = p(a) = 0.4$

Consistent PCFG

A PCFG is **consistent** if the sum of the probabilities of all sentences in the language equals 1.

Example of an inconsistent PCFG

.4 $S \to A$.6 $S \to B$ 1 $A \to a$ 1 $B \to B$ Problem: probability mass disappears into infinite derivations. $\sum_{w \in L(G)} p(w) = p(a) = 0.4$

PCFGs estimated from treebanks are usually consistent.

Given a PCFG and an input $w = w_1 \dots w_n$, determine the likelihood of w, i.e., compute $\sum_{t' \in T(w)} P(t')$.

We don't want to compute the probability of every parse tree separately and then sum over the results. This is too expensive.

Instead, we adopt a computation with tabulation, in order to share the results for common subtrees.

Idea: We fill a $|N| \times |w| \times |w|$ matrix α where the first dimension is the id of a non-terminal, and the second and third are the start and end indices of a span. $\alpha_{A,i,j}$ gives the probability of deriving $w_i \dots w_j$ from *A* or, put differently, of a parse tree with root label *A* and yield $w_i \dots w_j$:

$$\alpha_{A,i,j} = P(A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w_i \dots w_j | A)$$

Inside computation

We have in particular $\alpha_{S,1,|w|} = P(w)$.

Insi	Inside computation									
0.3 inp	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$									
j										
4				(1,A), (0.1,S)						
3			(1,A), (0.1,S)							
2		(1,A), (0.1,S)								
1	(1,A), (0.1,S)									
	1	2	3	4 <i>i</i>						

Insi	nside computation									
0.3 inp	$0.3: S \rightarrow AS$ $0.6: S \rightarrow AX$ $0.1: S \rightarrow a$ $1: X \rightarrow SA$ $1: A \rightarrow a$ input $w = a^4$									
j										
4			(3·10 ⁻² ,S), (0.1,X)	(1,A), (0.1,S)						
3		$(3 \cdot 10^{-2}, S), (0.1, X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)							
2	$(3 \cdot 10^{-2},S), (0.1,X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)								
1	(1,A), (0.1,S)									
	1	2	3	4 <i>i</i>						

Inside computation

j				
4		$(6.9 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.03,X)	$(3 \cdot 10^{-2}, S), (0.1, X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)
3	$(6.9 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.03,X)	(3·10 ⁻² ,S), (0.1,X)	(1,A), (0.1,S)	
2	(3·10 ⁻² ,S), (0.1,X)	(1,A), (0.1,S)		
1	(1,A), (0.1,S)			
	1	2	3	4 <i>i</i>

Inside computation

j					
4	$(3.87 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.069,X)	$(6.9 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.03,X)	$(3 \cdot 10^{-2}, S), (0.1, X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)	
3	$(6.9 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.03,X)	$(3 \cdot 10^{-2}, S), (0.1, X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)		
2	$(3 \cdot 10^{-2},S), (0.1,X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)			
1	(1,A), (0.1,S)				
	1	2	3	4 <i>i</i>	

Inside computation

j				
4	$(3.87 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.069,X)	$(6.9 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.03,X)	$(3 \cdot 10^{-2},S), (0.1,X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)
3	$(6.9 \cdot 10^{-2},S),$ (0.03,X)	$(3 \cdot 10^{-2}, S), (0.1, X)$	(1,A), (0.1,S)	
2	(3·10 ⁻² ,S), (0.1,X)	(1,A), (0.1,S)		
1	(1,A), (0.1,S)			
	1	2	3	4 <i>i</i>
P(a	$(aaa) = \alpha_{S,1,4} =$	0.0387		

We can also compute the outside probability of a given non-terminal A with a span from i to j.

Inside: Sum over all possibilities for the tree below A (spanning from i to j).

Outside: Sum over all possibilities for the part of the parse tree outside the tree below A, i.e., over all possibilities to complete a A, i, j tree into a parse tree for the entire sentence.



Outside probability $\beta_{A,i,j}$

Inside probability $\alpha_{A,i,j}$

We fill a $|N| \times |w| \times |w|$ matrix β such that $\beta_{A,i,j}$ gives the probability of deriving $w_1 \dots w_{i-1} A w_{j+1} \dots w_{|w|}$ from *S* or, put differently, of deriving a tree with root label *S* and yield $w_1 \dots w_{i-1} A w_{j+1} \dots w_{|w|}$:

$$\beta_{A,i,j} = P(S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w_1 \dots w_{i-1} A w_{j+1} \dots w_{|w|} | S)$$

We need the inside probabilities in order to compute the outside probabilities.

Outside computation

•
$$\beta_{S,1,|w|} = 1$$
 and $\beta_{A,1,|w|} = 0$ for all $A \neq S$
• for all $1 \leq i < j \leq |w|$ and $A \in N$:
 $\beta_{A,i,j} = \sum_{B \to AC \in P} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} p(B \to AC) \beta_{B,i,k} \alpha_{C,j+1,k}$
 $+ \sum_{B \to CA \in P} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} p(B \to CA) \beta_{B,k,j} \alpha_{C,k,i-1}$

Outside computation



Outside computation

j				
3	(1,S), (0,A), (0,X)	(0.3,S), (0,A), (0.6,X)		
2	(0,S), (0,X), (0.03,A)			
1				
	1	2	3	i

Outside computation

j				
3	(1,S), (0,A), (0,X)	(0.3,S), (0,A), (0.6,X)	$(9 \cdot 10^{-2},S), (0.18,X), (3 \cdot 10^{-2},A)$	
2	(0,S), (0,X), (0.03,A)	(0.6,S), (0,X), (8.99 · 10 ⁻³ ,A)		
1	(0,S), (0,X), $(6.9 \cdot 10^{-2},A)$			
	1	2	3	i

The following holds:

The probability of a parse tree for w with a node labeled A that spans w_i... w_j is

$$P(S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w_1 \dots w_{i-1} A w_{j+1} \dots w_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w_1 \dots w_n) = \alpha_{A,i,j} \beta_{A,i,j}$$



② In particular: $P(w) = \alpha_{S,1,|w|}$

- In PCFG parsing, we want to compute the most probable parse tree (= most probable (leftmost) derivation) given an input sentence w, also called the Viterbi parse.
- This means that we are disambiguating: Among several readings, we search for the best.
- Sometimes, the *k* best are searched for (*k* > 1).
- During parsing, we must make sure that updates on probabilities (because a better derivation has been found for a non-terminal) do not require updates on other parts of the chart. ⇒ the order should be such that an item is used within a derivation only when its final probability is reached.

We can extend the symbolic CYK parser to a probabilistic one. Instead of summing over all derivations (as in the computation of the inside probability), we keep the best one (\Rightarrow **Viterbi algorithm**).

Assume a three-dimensional chart C (non-terminal, start index, length).

We extend this to a parser.

- The parser can also deal with unary productions $A \rightarrow B$.
- Every chart field has three components, the probability, the rule that has been used and, if the rule is binary, the length l_1 of the first righthand side element.
- We assume that the grammar does not contain any loops $A \stackrel{+}{\Rightarrow} A$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_{A,i,1} = \langle p, A \rightarrow w_i, - \rangle & \text{if } p: A \rightarrow w_i \in P & \text{scan} \\ \text{for all } l \in [1..n] & \text{and for all } i \in [1..n-l]: \\ & \text{for all } p: A \rightarrow B \ C & \text{and for all } l_1 \in [1..l-1]: \\ & \text{for all } l_1 \in [1..l-1]: \\ & \text{if } C_{B,i,l_i} \neq \emptyset & \text{and } C_{C,i+l_1,l-l_i} \neq \emptyset & \text{then:} \\ & p_{new} = p \cdot C_{B,i,l_i}[1] \cdot C_{C,i+l_1,l-l_i}[1] \\ & \text{if } C_{A,i,l} == \emptyset & \text{or } C_{A,i,l}[1] < p_{new} & \text{then:} \\ & C_{A,i,l} = \langle p_{new}, A \rightarrow BC, l_1 \rangle & \text{binary complete} \\ & \text{repeat until } C & \text{does not change any more:} \\ & \text{for every } p: A \rightarrow B: \\ & \text{if } C_{B,i,l} \neq \emptyset & \text{then:} \\ & p_{new} = p \cdot C_{B,i,l}[1] \\ & \text{if } C_{A,i,l} == \emptyset & \text{or } C_{A,i,l}[1] < p_{new} & \text{then:} \\ & C_{A,i,l} = \langle p_{new}, A \rightarrow B, - \rangle & \text{unary complete} \\ \\ & \text{return build-tree}(S, 1, n) \end{array}$$

Exai	mple							
.1 .6 .3 .5	$VP \rightarrow VP NP$ $VP \rightarrow V NP$ $VP \rightarrow V$ $N \rightarrow apple$	1 .3 .4	$\begin{array}{c} NP \rightarrow \\ V \rightarrow s \\ V \rightarrow c \end{array}$	· Det N sees comes	.3 1 .5	$V \rightarrow eats$ Det $\rightarrow th$ N $\rightarrow mor$	is ning	
Start	Start symbol VP, input $w = eats$ this morning							
l								
3								
2								
1								
	1			2			3	i

Exa	mple								
.1 .6 .3 .5	$VP \rightarrow VP NP$ $VP \rightarrow V NP$ $VP \rightarrow V$ $N \rightarrow apple$	1 .3 .4	$\begin{array}{l} \text{NP} \rightarrow \\ \text{V} \rightarrow \text{s} \\ \text{V} \rightarrow \text{o} \end{array}$	Det N sees comes	.3 1 .5	$V \rightarrow eats$ Det $\rightarrow th$ $N \rightarrow mor$	• eats → this → morning		
Start symbol VP, input $w = eats$ this morning									
l									
3									
2									
1	$.3, V \rightarrow eats$			1, Det	$\rightarrow t$	his	.5, N \rightarrow morning		
	1			2			3	i	

Example									
.1 .6	$\begin{array}{l} VP \rightarrow VP \ NP \\ VP \rightarrow V \ NP \end{array}$	1 .3	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \\ \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{s} \end{array}$	Det N Sees	.3 1	$V \rightarrow eats$ Det \rightarrow this			
.3	$VP \rightarrow V$ $N \rightarrow apple$.4	$V \rightarrow c$	comes	.5	$N \to morning$			
.5 $N \rightarrow apple$ Start symbol VP, input $w = eats$ this morning									
l					_				
3									
2									
	$ $.09, VP \rightarrow V								
1	$3, V \rightarrow eats$			1, Det	$\rightarrow t$	his	.5, N \rightarrow morning		
	1			2			3	i	

Example									
.1 .6 .3 .5	$\begin{array}{l} VP \rightarrow VP \ NP \\ VP \rightarrow V \ NP \\ VP \rightarrow V \\ N \rightarrow apple \end{array}$	1 .3 .4	$\begin{array}{c} NP \rightarrow \\ V \rightarrow s \\ V \rightarrow c \end{array}$	Det N sees comes	.3 1 .5	$V \rightarrow eats$ Det $\rightarrow th$ $N \rightarrow mor$	is ning		
Start symbol VP, input $w = eats$ this morning									
l									
3									
2				.5, NP	\rightarrow I	Det N, 1			
	.09, VP \rightarrow V								
1	$3, V \rightarrow eats$			1, Det	$\rightarrow t$	his	.5, N \rightarrow morning		
	1			2			3	i	

Exai	mple									
.1 .6 .3 .5	$\begin{array}{l} VP \rightarrow VP \ NP \\ VP \rightarrow V \ NP \\ VP \rightarrow V \\ N \rightarrow apple \end{array}$	1 .3 .4	$\begin{array}{c} NP \rightarrow \\ V \rightarrow s \\ V \rightarrow c \end{array}$	Det N sees comes	.3 1 .5	$V \rightarrow eats$ Det \rightarrow this N \rightarrow morning				
Start symbol VP, input $w = eats$ this morning										
l										
3	.0045, VP $ ightarrow$	VP	NP, 1							
2				.5, NP	\rightarrow I	Det N, 1				
	.09, VP \rightarrow V									
1	$3, V \rightarrow eats$			1, Det	$\rightarrow t$	his	.5, N \rightarrow morning			
	1			2			3	i		

Example										
.1 .6 .3 .5	$VP \rightarrow VP NP$ $VP \rightarrow V NP$ $VP \rightarrow V$ $N \rightarrow apple$	1 .3 .4	$\begin{array}{c} NP \rightarrow \\ V \rightarrow s \\ V \rightarrow c \end{array}$	Det N sees comes	.3 1 .5	$V \rightarrow eats$ Det \rightarrow th N \rightarrow mor	$V \rightarrow eats$ Det \rightarrow this N \rightarrow morning			
Start symbol VP, input $w = eats$ this morning										
l										
3	$.09, VP \rightarrow V$	' NP,	1							
2				.5, NP	\rightarrow I	Det N, 1				
	.09, VP \rightarrow V	•								
1	$ $.3, V \rightarrow eats			1, Det	\rightarrow t	his	.5, N \rightarrow morning			
	1			2			3	i		

(The analysis of the VP gets revised since a better parse tree has been found.)

Jurafsky, D. and Martin, J. H. (2009). Speech and Language Processing. An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, and Speech Recognition. Prentice Hall Series in Articial Intelligence. Pearson Education International, second edition edition.